# Exhibit 1b

you <u>MUST</u> attempt to informally resolve your com- complaint below, and list what efforts you have made macted.	plaint through your Com to resolve your complain	t informally, stating names of starr
This Informal Resolution was issued by Correctional to the counselor on	Counselor, WATS and I	Date Date
Inmate's Name Demetrius Brown	Reg. No.21534-039	Unit CB
I would like to make complaint.  Complaint: is in vie of a trade to district to inmates - tobacco products that fume hazza Warden LaManna is in total disregard to separ	ibute, sale, administ	er and/or provide for - ancer. That, as a result,
myself). This lack of consideration for the h constributes to a deliberate indifference and	nealth of myself as we cruel and unusual s	11 as other non-smoking inma
hand smoke which for a fact leads to cancer.	រ៉ាក់ ក្រសួ <b>ន</b> 	
2. Efforts made to informally resolve and staff con However, to make formal resolution, I resolve separate all smokers from those of non-smok only. Also to compensate myself in the amount	e that the Warden imp ers by creating separ	ose regulation that would ate housing for non-smokers
smoke related injuries caused by tobacco smo	ke over the last 6+ y	ears that I've been incarcer
ated at FCI McKean as well as to compensate econd-hand smoke.	for any future cancer	related problems due to
Demetina Brown	2153	4-039
Inmate's Signature	2153 Inmate's Re	g. No.
PART 2 Correctional Counselor's Comments:  1. Efforts made to informally resolve:	**************************************	**********
	•	
Pate informally resolved or BR-9 issued:	" ·	
Distribution: If complaint is NOT informally resolved	**************************************	**************************************

<u>Distribution:</u> If complaint is **NOT** informally resolved, forward original Administrative Remedy to the Warden (attn: Warden's Secretary) through your unit team.

# **BP-8 Response attachment**

Name: Brown, Demetrius

Reg. #: 21534-039

Date: December 30, 2003

Concern: Smoking

In your attached concern, you state that you feel smokers and non-smokers should live in separate housing units. It should be noted that this would not be practical as there are many more smokers at this facility than non-smokers. This grievance is also rather intriguing as I have had to warn you in the past for smoking in the pool table area and have told you last year that if you were indeed a smoker, that you would be moved from your current cell (118) to an upstairs cell. You indicated at that time that you only smoked once in a while and that you were going to quit so you would not lose your cell.

In regard to your specific situation, you are currently housed in a non-smoking cell with a non-smoker cellmate. Also, you fail to provide any documentation of the injuries you have incurred since your arrival at McKean.

Therefore, this grievance tacks any merit and no relief is warranted at this level. It is suggested that you cease your own smoking if you have not already to avoid any possible effects in the future.

N. Watson, Counselor 12/30/03

Case 1:04-cv-00379-SJM-SPB

Document 86-4

Filed 02/05/2007 Page 4 of 11

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REQUEST FOR AL. IN TRATIVE REMEDY

Federal Bureau of Prisons

en time to the contract the state

Type	e or use ball-vo	httlb&a.T	f aviachments or	needed, submi	t four copies.	Additional	instructions on rever-	se.

FCI, MOKEAN, PA 21534~039 From: Brown, Demetrius CB FCI McKean REG. NO. LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL UNIT INSTITUTION

Ut Jall appealing the BP-8 Response dated 12/30/03 by Counselor Watson. Part A- INMATE REQUEST In Watson's Response he asserts that it would not be practical for the Warden to separate smokers from non-smokers by assigning separate housing. He also, asserts that he was had to warn me in the past about smoking. To begin with, Watson is not the Warden. He has neither the capacity nor the authority to say what's practical and what is not by organizing a move to separate smoker from non-smokers. But, besides that, practicality is not an issue when it comes to the health and life of an individual. For this reason, Watson is inconsiderate. Above more, Watson is a bareface lie when he alleges that he has had to warn me in the past about smoking. I do not smoke, have never smoked, and will not ever smoke. Smoking is in violation of my Religion for one, and for two it is bad for my health. And anyone who knows me will attest to the same includin my past and present cell mates. To note in addition, even as Watson fails to recall. My injuries are stated in that I suffer from breathing second hand smoke. That, as a result of this, cancer has had the opportune to develop over the 6 years that I've been incarcerated at FCI McKean, and will continue to develop in stages if I am to continue to suffer. Therfore, I request that the Warden seperate smokers from non-smoker's and to compensate me in the amount of \$10,000,000.00 (ten million dollars).

Part B- RESPONSE

If dissatisfied w	DATE ith this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your appe	WARDEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR al must be received in the Regional Office within 20 calendar days of the date of this response.				
ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE			CASE NUMBER: 321868			
Part C- RI	ECEIPT		CASE NUMBER:			
Return to:	LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION		
SUBJ <b>ECT:</b>						

BROWN, Demetrius Reg. No.: 21534-039 MCK 321868-F1

# Part B - Response

This is in response to your Request for Administrative Remedy, receipted in my office on January 8, 2004, wherein you request smokers to be separated from non-smokers, and to be compensated in the amount of \$10,000,000.

According to Program Statement 1640.03 Smoking/No Smoking Areas, the Bureau of Prisons will restrict areas and circumstances where smoking is permitted within its institutions and offices. At all low, medium, high, and administrative institutions, the Warden may, but is not required to, designate a limited number of indoor smoking areas. To the extent practicable, living facilities shall be separated into smoking and nonsmoking areas sufficient to accommodate all nonsmokers. It further states areas where smoking shall not be permitted. Housing units is not listed in this section.

At this institution, the Warden has designated lower tiers of the housing units to be a nonsmoking area. For this reason, you have been assigned to a lower tier in your housing unit.

Based on this information, your Request for Administrative Remedy is denied.

In the event you are not satisfied with this response, you may appeal within twenty (20) calendar days from the date of this response by submitting a BP-DIR-230 to the regional director.

Date

John J. LaManna, Warden

## \_ Case 1:04-cv-00379-SJM-SPB

Document 86-4

Filed 02/05/2007

Page 6 of 11

SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER

BD\_230(12)

U.S. Department of Justice

Regional Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons.

Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. One copy of the completed BP-DIR-9 including any attachments must be submitted with this appeal.

From: Brown, Demetrius D. 21534-039 CB FCI McKean
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL REG. NO. UNIT INSTITUTION

I am appealing the Warden's BP-9 Response (MCK 321868-F1) dated 1/7/04 Part A-REASON FOR APPEAL denying my request that smoking inmates be separated from non-smoking inmates by assigning separat Housing Units and to compensate me in the amount of \$10,000,000.00 (ten million dollars). Here, th Warden's Response is that according to Program Statement 1640.03 Smoking/No Smoking Areas, the Warden may but is not required to, designate a limited number of indoor smoking areas. That conth extent practicable living facilities shall be separated into smoking and non-smoking areas. Noting also, that housing Units is not listed in the section where swoking shall not be permitted. For this reason, the Warden states that at this institution, it has been designated that lower tiess of the Housing Units to be a nonsmoking areas. -However, this Response to policy is ineffective. See MCK 1640.3; ((b)(2)(a) housing Units: Smoking is only permitted in inmate rooms... There is no unoking in the common areas, other multipurpose areas, or entrance ways of the housing units.) The Warden in this case would simply not allow smoking on the lower tiers. But, such is not the case where at McKean the lower tiers and the upoer tiers are not separated by much more than a railing which in such case amounts to it being one area. Also, the concern is that Housing Units are filled to cheir capacities thereby making congestion a major problem and with ventilation systems that carry smoke to nonsmoking areas. Another concern is that because the upper tiers cannot be separated from the lower tiers and that they are considered therefore to be one area, physical exercise and food preparation are obviously affected. - Smoking is a Health Harzard, to all pen-smoking immates

D / D DEGRONOD

Part B-RESPONSE

DATE		REGIONAL DIRECTOR or appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar				
If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Gerdays of the date of this response.						
FIRST COPY: REGIONAL FILE COPY		CASE NUMBER:	3 sent 8 (18 18			
Part C-RECEIPT						
		CASE NUMBER:				
Return to:			WCK			
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INIT	TAL REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION			
SUBJECT:						

### (Continuation Page)

for the simple fact that (ETS) is distributed by second smoke, thus causing cancer. The practicability of separating smokers from non-smokers is feasible as there are enough non-smokers at the institution to implement a non-smoking only unit. If the Warden is able to create non-smoking in lower tiers then he is able to combine two units that have lower tier non-smoking to create one unit with non-smoking both upper and lower tier. —To give preference of a non-smoking inmate over smoking inmates when it comes to the designating the labeling of a room non-smoking is beyond reason to not designate a unit a non-smoking unit. As stated, because smoke is a gas that passes through the environment unimpeded and uncontrolled, it is a hazzard for non-smoking inmates living in Housing Units. Noting also, the unenforced rule and the uninflicted cravings of a smoking inmate, that as evident in this institution, smokers not only smoke in their rooms but they smoke just as well in the "prohibited" areas of the unit i.e. common area, mop closets, laundry rooms, microwave room and lower tiers + cells.

For this reason, I affirm my request that the Warden separate smoking inmates fr non-smoking inmates and to compensate me in the amount of \$10,000,000.00 for the pain and suffering over the last 6+ years I've been incarcerated at FCI McKean due to cancer's opportune to develop as well as its future possibilities of arising.

### BROWN, Demetrius

Reg. No. 21534-039 Appeal No. 321868-R1 Page One

### Part B - Response

In your appeal, you state you are being exposed to Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) by other inmates in the housing units at FCI McKean. You contend the administration's policy designating lower tiers of the housing units as non-smoking areas is ineffective. You state the cravings of smokers cause them to smoke in prohibited areas in the unit. You request the Warden separate smoking inmates from non-smoking inmates. You also request to be compensated \$10,000,000.00 for pain and suffering while incarcerated at FCI McKean for over six years, due to the possibility of cancer developing now and in the future.

Program Statement 1640.03, <u>Smoking/No Smoking Areas</u>, authorizes the Warden at each institution to designate smoking and nonsmoking areas. As indicated by the Warden, the lower tiers of the housing units have been designated as non-smoking areas. You have been assigned to the lower tier of your housing unit. Institution staff at FCI McKean has been diligent in their efforts to enforce the smoking policy and to take appropriate disciplinary action against violators. You also have a responsibility to report violations of this policy to staff to ensure violators are held accountable for their actions. Staff will continue to monitor smoking in an attempt to alleviate smoking by inmates in prohibited areas. If you feel you are experiencing medical problems, you need to sign up for sick call to be evaluated by Health Services.

Additionally, you cannot receive monetary compensation for alleged pain and suffering via a Request for Administrative Remedy. You may request money damages by submitting a claim to the Regional Counsel under the Federal Tort Claims Act. Accordingly, your appeal is denied.

If you are dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons. Your appeal must be received in the Administrative Remedy Section, Office of General Counsel, Federal Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20534, within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

Date: March 2, 2004

Regional Director

Case 1:04-cv-00379-SJM-SPB Document 86-4
U.S. Department of Justice

Filed 02/05/2007

Page 9 of 11

Federal Bureau of Pris

Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal

redetal Buleau Of Prisons				<u> </u>			
Type or use ball-point pen. If a ments must be submitted with the	ittachments are need	led, submit fou	r copies. One	copy c	c completed RP_DIP_0	and PR DIR IA	
	us appear.					anu Br-DIK-(U.	including any atta
	FIRST, MIDDLE IN	ITIAL		34-039 REG. NO.	<u>CB</u>	FCI	McKean
Part A-REASON FOR API	PEAT T s	im annaal	ive Rest	* ** *	etor, D. Scott	TY \$1.24 # 5	INSTITUTION
Good March 2, 2004 de gmoking inmates to compensate me :  For this rease the Housing Units is Smoking it only har multipurpose are otion (Warden) in the thing about the upper wer tier are not separate means that the 1 problem that the very that because the upper area means that phe sared to all non-smo	be separated in the amount on, the Admito be non-say permitted eas, or entraise case, wo reter in the treed to multiple and to their entilation per tier is yesical exerting.	from no t of \$10 mistrati toking ar in inmat ance way uld simple it is chemore as well expects; system or separate and separate separat	n-smokin ,000,000 on (FCI eas is i e rooms. B of the ly not a infeate than a s l infeate ies ther	ative Apportunition of the control o	by assigning million dollar policy of des see MCK 164 is no amoking units.) Here, ing on the lowesting, means that moking. Also, it congestion a presmoking area over tier and to	o reiterat separate H s) for my ignating 1 0.3;((b)(2 in the com FCI McKean of tier. The the upper it is one che concern major prof is. Another cherefore (	e, I appea ousing Uni pain and a ower tiers (a) Housi non areas. 's Admin's his says er tier and a area and is that lem, and concern, considered
3/28/01 DATE	_			1,	emetras !	3 rom	second
Part B-RESPONSE		······································	<del></del>	·	SIGNATURE	OF REQUESTER	
	91 3*	(g)	ંતુપ	· 4			
			7	1,	 *	the second	÷.
				v			
DATE				·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GENERAL	COUNSEL	
	AL FILE COPY			<del> </del>		COUNSEL	·
ECOND COPY: REGIONA	AL FILE COPY	<b>-</b>			GENERAL CASE NUMBI CASE NUMBE	ER:	
SECOND COPY: REGIONAL  But C-RECEIPT  Sturn to:	AL FILE COPY				CASE NUMBI	ER:	<u>. j.</u>

smoke, thus causing cancer. The practicability of separating smokers from non-smokers is feasible as there are enough non-smokers at the institution to implement a non-smoking only unit. If the Warden is able to create non-smoking in lower tiers then he is able to combine two units that have lower tier non-smoking to create one unit with non-smoking both upper and lower tier. To give preference to a non-smoking inmate over smoking inmates when it comes to the designating the labelling of a room non-smoking is beyond reason to not designate a unit a non-smoking unit due to a lowertier full of non-smokers. As stated, because smoke is a gas that passes through the environment unimpeded and uncontrolled, it is a hazzard for non-smoking inmates living in Housin Units. Noting also, the unenforced rule and the uninflicted cravings of a smoking inmate, that as evident in FCI McKean, causes smokers not only to smoke in their rooms but also causes them to smoke just as well in the "prohibited" areas of the unit i.e. common area, mop closets, laundirooms, microwaive room and lower tiers + cells.

As the case may be, I affirm my request that the Warden separate smoking inmates from non-smoking inmates and to compensate me in the amount of \$10,000,000.00 for the pain and suffer over the last 6+years I've been incarcerated at FCI McKean due to cancer's opportune to develop as well as its future possibilities of arising.

Administrative Remedy No. 321868-A1 Part B - Response

You contend you are subject to harmful chemicals as a result of second-hand smoke and that such exposure has damaged your health. You request that smoking inmates be separated from non-smoking inmates and also seek monetary compensation.

Our review of this matter reveals that both the Warden and the Regional Director have adequately addressed your concerns. The Bureau of Prisons' has recently reissued its Program Statement (1640.04) entitled <a href="mailto:Smoking/No Smoking Areas">Smoking/No Smoking Areas</a>. This revised policy severely limits areas where smoking is permitted. Staff are working diligently to implement this policy and enforce its requirements.

Your appeal is denied.

Harrell Watts, Administrator National Inmate Appeals